

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/641,595	08/18/2000	Michael Zimmer	JFH-A12898US	6641	
24314 75	590 03/15/2002				
JANSSON, SHUPE & MUNGER, LTD 245 MAIN STREET			EXAMINER		
RACINE, WI			PARKER, FREE	ERICK JOHN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762	9	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2002	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, 				ME
Offic Action Summers	Application No. 09/641,595	Applicant(s)		
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	-	Group Art Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet be	neath the co	rrespondence ac	kiness
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTH(S	) FROM THE MA	ILING DATE
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defending to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	, a reply within the statutory mini fault, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (3	0) days will be considered this communicate of this communicate	lered timely.
Status	,1			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/02			<u> </u>
This action is FINAL.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1	ept for formal matters, <b>pros</b> 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.	ecution as to	the merits is cl	osed in
isposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 26-45		is/are pe	ending in the appli	cation
Of the above claim(s)		is/are wi	thdrawn from con	sideration
☐ Claim(s)		:-/		olderadori.
126-45		is/are rei	ected	
□ Claim(s)		is/are ob	iected to	
□ Claim(s)		are subia	ect to metriction o	r olootion
pplication Papers		requirem	ent	election
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved 🗆	disapproved	l.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj	ected to by the Examiner			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
$\hfill\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)		d).		
lority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorite	v under 35 U.S.C. & 119 (a)⊶			
<ul> <li>fority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> </ul>	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(	-7.		
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> </ul>		-,-		
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> </ul>	n received.			
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> </ul>	n received. received in Application No.		·	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer</li> <li>□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ul>	n received. received in Application No. nts have been received		<u> </u>	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> </ul>	n received. received in Application No. nts have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	)	· · ·	
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have beer</li> <li>□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internation</li> </ul>	n received. received in Application No. nts have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	)	· ·	-·
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume in this national stage application from the Internation</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	n received. n received in Application No. nts have been received nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	)		
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ Copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume in this national stage application from the Internation</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> <li>■ Certified copies not received:</li> <li>■ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notes</li> </ul>	n received. I received in Application No. Ints have been received Inal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	) rview Summa	ry, PTO-413	_,
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the:</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been</li> <li>□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume in this national stage application from the Internation</li> <li>*Certified copies not received:</li> </ul>	n received.  n received in Application No.  nts have been received  nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	) rview Summa ice of Informa		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 1762

### **Response to Amendment**

#### Oath/Declaration

1. Applicants' comments regarding the issues under this heading of the previous Office Action are appreciated, and they resolve the ambiguity.

# **Claim Objections**

2. The objections under this heading are withdrawn because of cancellation of all previous claims.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The rejections under this heading are withdrawn because of cancellation of all previous claims.
- 4. The rejections under the 35 USC 103 heading are withdrawn because of cancellation of all previous claims. The new rejections are necessitated by amendments.

Art Unit: 1762

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 26,28-35,37,39-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chowdry US 5102767.

Chowdry teaches a method for forming marking toner images in which marking toner images are electrographically applied to a receiver coated with thin layers of a non-marking toner while heating which causes sintering and bonding of marking toner particles at their points of contact ("toner reactive state") and at contact with the coating ("material reactive state"). Bonding would not occur if each was not in a reactive state since bonding per se is a reactive state as set forth by Applicants' specification page 1, 23-29; page 4, 10-12; page 5, 8-11. Marking toner is a thermoplastic polymer with a colorant; non-marking toner is the thermoplastic without colorant. The receiver with a heat-bonded toner image thereon is formed upon cooling. It is inherent that

Art Unit: 1762

heating of the receiver carries thermal energy to be transferred from the non-marking toner to the marking toner, and vice-versa, to create the at least localized melting to perform the sintering/ bonding/ fusion required by the reference. See column 1, 34-46; col. 2, 1-64; col. 4, 7-11; col. 5, 1-60; col. 9-10.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chowdry.

Chowdry is cited for the same reasons above, which are incorporated herein. Bringing only a surface portion of the non-marking toner layer into a reactive state is not cited. Since it is apparent from Chowdry that it is the surface non-marking layer that must be heated to integrate and bond the marking toner layer, it would have been an obvious variation to sufficiently heat only the surface portions to which marking toner is being applied because of the expectation of achieving equivalent results.

9. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chowdry in view of Kuehnle et al US 4510225.

Chowdry is cited for the same reasons above, which are incorporated herein.

The toner sinking into the surface layer to form a smooth surface structure is not cited.

Kuehnle et al teaches a method for producing printing on a thin, heat softenable thermoplastic layer, in which the outer surface of the layer is selectively heat softened to a tacky state ("reactive state") and then contacted with a toner image by electrophotographic means such that the toner completely adheres to the tacky surface (col. 9, 1-26). The toner image is applied onto the carrier 100 by electrostatic electrophotographic means described on column 8, 26-61 which is the same as Applicants' "electrographic means". A reheating step causes the toner image to become embedded into the layer just below the surface (col. 9, 27-36) which would therefore provide a smooth, planar surface. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Chowdry by reheating/ providing additional heating after application of the toner on the thermoplastic layer as taught by Kuehnle et al to embed the toner

Art Unit: 1762

within the thermoplastic layer to form a smooth surface and provide the toner markings with wear-resistance.

10. Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chowdry in view of Silvis et al.

Chowdry is cited for the same reasons above, which are incorporated herein. Forming a thermoplastic onto which a toner is to be subsequently deposited is not cited.

Silvis et al teaches on column 7, lines 56-61 that thermoplastic materials may be fabricated by "thermal processing techniques" including molding, which may then be subsequently coated by any electromotive process (encompassing "electrographic" as defined by Silvis). Given these general teachings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to carry out the process of Kuehnle et al by printing the toner on thermoplastic articles formed by thermal molding as taught by Silvis et al because of the expectation of forming colored images on the molded thermoplastic.

#### RESPONSE TO REMARKS

Applicants' remarks and arguments have been fully considered. The bulk of Applicants' arguments relate to the limitations of the new claims; these are

Art Unit: 1762

addressed in the new rejections above necessitated by amendment. Since claims 11-25 were canceled, response to arguments regarding those claims are moot. Applicants did not argue the teachings of Silvis et al as expressly used by the Examiner, only stating Silvis et al did not "disclose the claimed method of using such as toner" which was not the intention for introducing Silvis et al.

Applicants also argue on page 5 that Kuehnle et al does not teach "printing an image directly to the thermoplastic surface". The Examiner respectfully points out that neither do applicants' claims.

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/641,595

Art Unit: 1762

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred J. Parker whose telephone number is (703) 308-3474.

FRED J. PARKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Fred J. Parker

March 12, 2002

fr9-641595